

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,462	06/28/2001	Darren Slawecki	042390.P11440	6557	
7590 08/20/2004			EXAMINER		
Kenneth B. Pa	ley	RAYMOND, EDWARD			
BLAKELY, SO	KOLOFF, TAYLOR &	ZAFMAN LLP			
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2857		
Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1026				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applie	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary							
		09/895		SLAWECKI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examir		Art Unit			
	TI MAN NO DATE SAL		Raymond	2857	I dua a a		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	ncation appears on	tne cover sneet with the c	orresponaence ad	aress		
THE - External after of the control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common selection of the provision o	ICATION. c of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. d) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be tir statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 June 2001.							
2a)□							
3)□	<u>-</u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,7,10,13-15,18,22,23,26 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-6,8,9,11,12,16,17,19-21,24,25 and 27-29 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 June 200</u> . Applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected to	1 is/are: a)⊠ acce ection to the drawing(s g the correction is req	s) be held in abeyance. Se uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 Cl	• •		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmei	nt(s)						
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/895,462 Page 2

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2, 5, and 26 objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "wherein the identify" is unclear. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-3, 7, 10, 13, 14, 15, 18, 22, 23, 26, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole, Jr. et al. in view of Ting et al. Cole, Jr. et al. teach a circuit coupled to an integrated circuit on a die that includes: a pulse manipulating circuit to selectively manipulate at least one pulse in a clocking signal (Claims 1, 7, 10, 14, and 22: see col. 3, lines 9-13, col. 7, lines 32-41, and also col. 12,

Application/Control Number: 09/895,462

Art Unit: 2857

lines 47-60), and the manipulate including manipulating a voltage of the at least one pulse (Claims 1, 7, 10, 14, and 22: see col. 12, lines 47-60); wherein the pulse manipulating circuit is to manipulate a frequency of each identified pulse in each clocking signal in response to the transmitted identified at least one pulse in each clock signals (Claims 1, 7, 10, 14, and 22: see col. 12, lines 47-60).

Cole, Jr. et al. teach a circuit wherein the identify "feature" is based on an algorithm (Claims 2, 13, 18, and 26: see col. 7, lines 1-13).

Cole, Jr. et al. teach a circuit that further includes: a clock signal generating circuit to generate each of the clocking signal, that is coupled to the pulse manipulating circuit (Claims 3, 15, 23, and 30: see Figure 2: Trigger from Digital IC Tester. The Examiner notes that the digital tester generates the clocking signal and is equivalent a clock signal generation circuit).

Cole, Jr. et al. teach all of the features of the claimed invention, except an identification circuit coupled to the pulse manipulating circuit to automatically identify an at least one pulse in each of a sequence of clock signals and to transmit the identified at least one pulse to the pulse manipulating circuit. Tsujimoto et al. teach an identification circuit coupled to the pulse manipulating circuit to automatically identify an at least one pulse in each of a sequence of clock signals (Claims 1, 7, 10, 14, and 22: see col. 7, lines 44-57) and to transmit the identified at least one pulse (Claims 1, 7, 10, 14, and 22: see Figure 1: Composite Synchronization Signal Identification Circuit 36 and Vertical Synchronization Signal Parameter Detection Circuit 38). It would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify

Art Unit: 2857

Cole, Jr. et al. to use an identification circuit, as taught by Tsujimoto et al., because this would allow for the detection of functional errors arising from timing errors (See Cole, Jr. et al. col. 7, lines 42-48).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 16, 17, 19-21, 24, 25, 27-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ting et al. teach a design for testability in very high speed memory. Brooks et al. teach a method for measuring pulse distortion.

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Raymond whose telephone number is 571-272-2221. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through alternating Friday between 8:00 AM and 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 571-272-2216. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-2221 for regular communications and 571-272-1562 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,462 Page 5

Art Unit: 2857

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

August 16, 2004 Edward Raymond Patent Examiner Art Unit 2857 Edward Raymond
Patent Examiner